# Atlantic Technological University Sligo College

# PROGRAMME VALIDATION PANEL REPORT FORM

Date of Evaluation	24th May 2022
--------------------	---------------

Programmes Title(s)	Master of Science in Food and Nutritional Product Development								
	Postgraduate Certificate in Food and Nutritional Product Development								
	Module(s) Food Chemistry and Nutritional Analysis and Food Product								
	Development								
Award Title(s):	Master of Science in Food and Nutritional Product Development								
	Postgraduate Certificate in Food and Nutritional Product Development								
	Single Subject Certificate in Food Science NUTR09031								
	Single Subject Certificate in Food Product Development NUTR09029								
Programme Code(s)	SG_SFOOD_M09								
	SG_SFOOD _S09								
NFQ Level	All programmes at level 9								
ECTS credits	90ECTS for Master of Science programmes								
	30 ECTS for Postgraduate Certificate								
	10 ECTC for two single certificates								

## **Evaluation Panel Member:**

Name & title	Job title & place of work	Role on panel	
Mr Stephen	Former Registrar Dundalk Institute of Technology	Chairperson	
McManus,			
Vivienne Crowley,	Quality Manager, Glanbia	External member	
Dr. Amalia Scannell,	(IFT CFS) Asst Prof in Food Science, UCD School of	Academic member	
	Agriculture & Food Science		
Ronan Courtney,	Senior Lecturer in Environmental Biology, Department	Academic member	
	of Biological Sciences and Bernal Institute, UL.		
Dr Aodhmar Cadogan	r Aodhmar Cadogan		
	Sligo		

**Declaration Regarding Any Conflicts of Interest:** The members of the Panel signed a form confirming that they did not have any conflict of interest.

## **Meeting groups**

Institute Management: Dr Jerry Bird, Head of Faculty of Science. Dr Thomas Smyth Head of Department of Health and Nutritional Sciences

## Programme development team.

#### As below

## Persons met by validation panel

Name	Role in Institute	Rationale for presence at validation.
Dr Thomas Smyth	Head of Department of Health and	Head of Department
	Nutritional Sciences	
Maria Dermiki	Lecturer	Programme development team
Dr Owen Kenny	Lecturer	Programme Development Team
Dr Paul Sullivan	Lecturer	Programme Development Team
Dr Amy Mullee	Lecturer	Programme Development Team
Dr Irina Uzhova	Lecturer	Programme development team
Dr Gemma McMonagle	Lecturer	Programme Development Team

Note: In the context of this report, a condition indicates an action or amendment which in the view of the validation panel must be undertaken prior to the commencement of the new (or revised) programme. Conditions are mandatory for Approval of the Programme(s). A recommendation indicates an action or amendment which in the view of the panel should be given serious consideration by the programme development team for implementation.

Validation criteria	Sufficient evidence / Insufficient evidence
Rationale for the programme	Sufficient evidence provided
<ul> <li>Philosophy underpinning the programme e.g. market for programme in the region and its relevance to the region</li> <li>Graduate profile and employment opportunities for graduates</li> <li>Rationale for the programme e.g. School's/Institute's strengths/opportunities</li> <li>Programme Aims and Objectives</li> <li>Expected intellectual development and Programme learning outcomes</li> <li>Related existing programmes.</li> </ul>	The need for both programmes was clearly articulated
<b>Commendation 1:</b> The quality of the documentation and the work done by the programme team to bring these programmes to validation. The need for these programme was clearly articulated.	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	C CC to the tide of tide of the tide of tide of the tide of tide of tide of the tide of tide o
<ul> <li>Programme structure</li> <li>Delivery type (full time and part time online)</li> <li>Proposed mode of delivery (full time and on-line,)</li> <li>Planned intake numbers (over the full duration of the programme)</li> <li>Role of placement</li> </ul>	Sufficient evidence provided
Condition 1: The panel recommend that an alternative module at level 9 be introduced to provide an alternative for those students entering the programme from a Food Science undergraduate degree background. Appropriate alternatives were discussed during the panel discussion and could include topics such as Bio-actives, Nutraceuticals or Novel Technology, Food packaging Technology etc.  Recommendation 1: The delivery mode in the Masters programme needs to be both Full time and online in order to show the correct student contact hours. The team will need to work with the	

Registrars office in order to build the two proposed versions of delivery on Module Manager. Remove the special regulation under the approved Programme schedule. Ensure that the modules have both a part time and a full time delivery mode incorporated where required. E.g. Food Science NUTR09031 only has online mode specified.  In the programme schedule the results for the module Research Project will be considered in Autumn. This should be reflected in the programme structure also.	
Resources (over the full duration of the programme)  • Facilities and human and material resources available to mount the programme  • Clarification of any staffing requirements  • Location of the delivery  • Specific requirements: lecture rooms, laboratories, library, Information technology and other student supports  • Confirmation regarding any new facilities and staffing requirements  • Special requirements (e.g. remote access for distance learners)	Sufficient evidence provided
Commendation: None  Recommendation: None	
Access, Transfer and Progression Criteria      Student admission requirements     Progression criteria from one stage to the next and to higher levels on the NFQ     Non-standard entry (e.g. mature candidates and candidates with experiential learning)     Transfer policy into the programme and onto other programmes	Sufficient evidence provided
Commendation: None  Condition: None  Recommendation: None	

Curriculum	Sufficient evidence provided
<ul> <li>A matrix exhibiting the academic pathway and the relationship between modules</li> <li>The consistency between the programme content, teaching methods and the programme learning outcomes</li> <li>Balance between the depth and breadth of the programme</li> <li>Rigour of the academic standard in the final stage of the programme</li> </ul>	Sufficient evidence provided
Student workload	
<ul> <li>Practice: the role and management of placement or work-based projects.</li> </ul>	
Commendation: None	
Condition: None	
<b>Recommendation 3</b> In regards to module Food Business, Innovation and Entrepreneurship or other appropriate module, emphasise the need for the costings of food product as discussed at the panel. Also consider introduction of Bio-actives, nutraceuticals and functional foods to the syllabus in an appropriate module.	
<b>Recommendation 4:</b> Review the programme learning outcomes for the Certificate and revise to ensure that they are sufficiently differentiated from the Master programme in terms of depth and breadth of knowledge in the area covered by the modules of the certificate.	
Assessment	Sufficient evidence provided
<ul> <li>The appropriateness of the modes of assessment to be used</li> <li>The balance between the marks awarded for different assessment modes (e.g. continuous</li> </ul>	
assessment, projects, reports, sit-down examination)	

Institute of Technology Sligo Issue date: 10 Jan. 2022 Form No: EAP5 Revision 000

Focus on group work, innovative assessment methodologies and emphasis on continuous assessment	
rather than final examination welcomed.	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	
Quality and specialities of staff available to support the programme     Technical and administrative support  Staff development	Sufficient evidence provided  Staffing requirement have been considered by the faculty and will be provided. Funding is
<ul> <li>Staff development</li> <li>Industrial/commercial profile of staff</li> <li>Research and publications</li> </ul>	available from Springboard for the programme.
Commendation: N/A	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	0.50
Programme Administration and Quality Assurance	Sufficient evidence provided
<ul> <li>Procedure for managing programme</li> <li>Student support student counselling and tutorial arrangements</li> <li>Aspects of programme which highlight and foster study skills, independent learning and the inculcation of individual responsibility in students</li> <li>EU and international aspects if appropriate</li> <li>Feedback mechanisms e.g. use of surveys, focus groups and follow-up actions.</li> </ul>	No additional requirements to current QA system.
Commendation: N/A	
Condition: None	
Recommendation: None	

# Overall decision of the panel

The panel agreed to recommend to the Academic council the approval of the following programme(s):

Institute of Technology Sligo Issue date: 10 Jan. 2022	Form No: EAP5 Revision 000
Chairperson:	
Stephen M'Wanus.	Date09/06/22
Mr Stephen McManus	
Secretary:  Andhuman Cadaga	Date:09/06/2022
Dr Aodhmar Cadogan	

**Programme Schedule (include table from AMM)** 

## Master of Science in Food and Nutritional Product Development

SG\_SFOOD\_ M09

Module			Semest		PT	OL	Credi	FO	CA	PF	EXAM	PROJ	PRAC
Code	Module Title	Stage	er	M/E	Hours	Hours	ts	%	%	%	%	%	%
NUTR0903		Stage	Semeste	Mandato									
1	Food Science	1	r 1	ry	0	2.53	10	0	60	0	0	0	40
NUTR0903		Stage	Semeste	Mandato									
2	Nutrition through the life stages	1	r 1	ry	0	1	5	0	100	0	0	0	0
FOOD0900		Stage	Semeste	Mandato									
7	Food Safety Quality and Legislation	1	r 1	ry	0	1.3	5	0	50	0	0	50	0
FOOD0900		Stage	Semeste	Mandato									
9	Research Methods	1	r 2	ry	0	1	5	0	100	0	0	0	0
FOOD0900	Food Business, Innovation and	Stage	Semeste	Mandato									
8	Entrepreneurship	1	r 2	ry	0	1.3	5	0	100	0	0	0	0
NUTR0902		Stage	Semeste	Mandato									
9	Food Product Development	1	r 2	ry	0	3.07	10	0	20	0	0	40	40
FOOD0900	Food and Nutritional Product Development	Stage	Year	Mandato									
6	Research Project	2	Long	ry	0	1	50	0	30	0	0	70	0

With the addition of an alternative module as discussed above.