StudentSurvey.ie (Irish Survey of Student Engagement)

Institution name: Institute of Technology, Sligo

Results of StudentSurvey.ie 2020

A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty six institutions participated in 2020.

StudentSurvey.ie reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflect students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

The StudentSurvey.ie Steering Group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising StudentSurvey.ie data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines in the national report illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year/ cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact <u>registrar@itsligo.ie</u>

B: Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all
	respondents)
Higher Order Learning	33.7
Reflective and Integrative Learning	27.5
Quantitative Reasoning	19.2
Learning Strategies	31.1
Collaborative Learning	25.0
Student-Faculty Interaction	10.4
Effective Teaching Practices	34.4
Quality of Interactions	36.3
Supportive Environment	24.1

C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Dosmansos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Very little	4.6	4.2	5.3	2.0
Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical	Some	32.0	34.6	32.1	20.4
problems or new situations	Quite a bit	44.4	43.9	42.8	56.1
	Very much	19.0	17.3	19.8	21.5
	Very little	9.8	10.2	10.8	2.3
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning	Some	32.6	37.7	30.7	22.6
in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	42.2	37.5	44.4	50.4
	Very much	15.3	14.6	14.1	24.7
	Very little	9.7	13.1	8.2	4.0
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information	Some	34.5	35.1	35.8	24.5
source	Quite a bit	41.6	40.0	41.7	47.9
	Very much	14.2	11.8	14.3	23.6
	Very little	6.3	6.3	6.9	3.2
Forming an understanding or new idea from	Some	31.9	32.8	33.0	22.0
various pieces of information	Quite a bit	44.0	43.8	43.7	46.3
	Very much	17.8	17.1	16.4	28.5

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
nenedate and megative zearming	пезропзез	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Never	6.5	9.7	4.4	4.7
Combined ideas from different subjects / modules	Sometimes	44.5	46.5	44.4	36.5
when completing assignments	Often	37.6	33.5	39.1	47.4
	Very often	11.4	10.3	12.1	11.5
	Never	25.4	28.6	24.8	14.8
Connected your learning to problems or issues in	Sometimes	41.7	42.0	42.4	36.0
society	Often	23.2	21.1	23.3	31.8
	Very often	9.7	8.4	9.4	17.4
Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in discussions or assignments	Never	55.7	54.8	56.0	57.6
	Sometimes	28.5	29.7	28.5	23.1
	Often	11.1	11.7	10.7	10.5
	Very often	4.7	3.8	4.7	8.7
	Never	13.1	13.2	13.0	13.1
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your	Sometimes	47.2	49.9	46.5	40.0
own views on a topic or issue	Often	33.4	31.4	33.4	41.7
	Very often	6.3	5.5	7.2	5.2
	Never	11.8	10.0	13.1	12.1
Tried to better understand someone else's views	Sometimes	43.4	44.7	42.8	40.6
by imagining how an issue looks from their perspective	Often	34.9	34.5	34.6	38.5
perspective	Very often	9.9	10.8	9.4	8.9
	Never	3.5	4.8	2.5	3.7
Learned something that changed the way you	Sometimes	39.7	40.2	39.7	36.9
understand an issue or concept?	Often	43.2	40.6	45.1	43.2
	Very often	13.6	14.4	12.6	16.2
	Never	3.6	4.8	2.7	3.8
Connected ideas from your subjects / modules to	Sometimes	32.0	34.8	31.9	20.0
your prior experiences and knowledge	Often	43.2	41.5	44.5	43.2
	Very often	21.2	18.9	20.8	33.1

Quantitativa Passaning	Danamana	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	Never	19.7	24.4	17.7	12.2
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of	Sometimes	43.9	44.2	43.7	43.5
numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)	Often	27.4	24.0	29.1	31.6
	Very often	9.0	7.4	9.6	12.6
	Never	40.2	41.2	40.5	34.9
Used numerical information to examine a real-	Sometimes	39.4	41.6	37.0	44.4
world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.)	Often	15.3	13.4	16.7	15.7
change, public recurry etc.)	Very often	5.0	3.8	5.9	4.9
	Never	40.1	43.2	37.7	41.1
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	44.4	43.0	46.4	39.1
numerical information	Often	12.5	10.4	13.4	16.1
	Very often	3.0	3.5	2.5	3.7

Learning Strategies	Dosnancos	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	9.2	12.0	8.5	1.9
Identified key information from recommended	Sometimes	43.5	44.6	44.9	31.4
reading materials	Often	37.9	35.5	37.5	50.2
	Very often	9.4	7.9	9.2	16.5
	Never	6.6	6.0	7.6	3.0
Reviewed your notes after class	Sometimes	35.5	39.1	34.2	28.8
Reviewed your flotes after class	Often	40.6	34.5	44.1	46.0
	Very often	17.3	20.4	14.1	22.2
	Never	9.6	10.2	9.2	9.0
Summarised what you learned in class or from	Sometimes	43.1	42.2	44.6	38.4
course materials	Often	35.0	34.3	35.7	34.5
	Very often	12.3	13.4	10.6	18.1

Collaborative Learning	Dosnancos	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	23.1	20.7	23.6	30.6
Asked another student to help you understand	Sometimes	46.3	43.2	48.1	49.9
course material	Often	21.7	24.7	20.2	17.3
	Very often	8.9	11.4	8.1	2.3
	Never	18.6	19.4	17.1	23.3
l - ,	Sometimes	47.7	46.3	47.1	57.2
Explained course material to one or more students	Often	24.5	24.1	26.4	15.4
	Very often	9.3	10.2	9.5	4.1
	Never	24.7	25.3	23.3	30.0
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	37.9	38.5	37.1	39.7
through course material with other students	Often	24.9	25.6	24.8	22.5
	Very often	12.5	10.6	14.8	7.7
	Never	23.8	24.4	24.1	19.6
Worked with other students on projects or	Sometimes	34.8	29.6	37.2	43.6
assignments	Often	25.5	30.3	21.5	27.8
	Very often	15.9	15.8	17.2	9.0

Student-Faculty Interaction	Dosnansos	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	64.5	64.2	62.1	79.2
Talked about career plans with a cademic staff	Sometimes	26.0	26.5	27.6	14.9
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Often	7.6	7.9	8.3	2.1
	Very often	2.0	1.4	2.1	3.8
	Never	77.9	76.5	78.0	83.5
Worked with academic staff on activities other	Sometimes	15.7	16.5	16.0	10.7
than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	Often	5.2	5.7	5.1	4.6
ctc.,	Very often	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.2
	Never	49.7	48.9	49.6	53.3
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with	Sometimes	38.9	38.3	39.6	37.5
academic staff outside of class	Often	9.7	10.8	9.3	8.2
	Very often	1.6	2.0	1.5	0.9
	Never	46.9	45.4	46.9	53.1
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Sometimes	40.8	40.9	41.7	35.9
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Often	10.1	11.2	9.6	8.6
	Very often	2.1	2.4	1.9	2.3

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	6.2	2.8	9.3	3.3
Clearly avalained source goals and requirements	Some	24.4	24.6	25.0	20.3
Clearly explained course goals and requirements	Quite a bit	42.3	42.0	42.0	44.4
	Very much	27.1	30.5	23.6	32.0
	Very little	6.0	3.4	8.4	3.5
Tought in an organized way	Some	28.2	25.4	30.6	26.6
Taught in an organised way	Quite a bit	39.6	41.5	37.7	41.6
	Very much	26.2	29.7	23.3	28.4
	Very little	4.7	3.8	5.7	3.0
Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult	Some	24.6	21.6	26.6	25.8
points	Quite a bit	37.9	37.1	37.9	40.6
	Very much	32.9	37.5	29.8	30.7
	Very little	19.3	14.5	22.3	22.4
Drawided feedback on a draft or work in progress	Some	34.3	35.2	34.3	30.4
Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	Quite a bit	29.7	32.3	28.1	28.3
	Very much	16.7	18.0	15.3	18.9
	Very little	21.0	14.2	25.7	23.2
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or	Some	33.0	35.2	32.3	27.1
completed assignments	Quite a bit	29.3	33.0	26.0	32.5
	Very much	16.8	17.6	16.0	17.2

Quality of Interactions	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	4.6	2.6	6.2	4.0
	2	5.4	5.2	6.0	2.2
	3	6.5	4.1	7.5	11.0
Students	4	15.9	13.5	17.5	16.9
	5	22.2	23.3	20.8	25.3
	6	20.9	24.1	18.8	18.6
	7=Excellent	24.7	27.1	23.3	21.9
	1=Poor	8.3	7.1	9.5	7.0
	2	7.5	5.6	8.8	8.5
	3	12.3	11.3	13.9	7.3
Academic advisors	4	18.9	18.0	20.4	14.2
	5	21.6	22.9	20.5	22.5
	6	16.3	19.1	13.5	20.8
	7=Excellent	15.1	16.1	13.4	19.8
	1=Poor	4.8	2.9	5.9	6.7
	2	5.4	3.1	7.2	4.9
	3	10.9	8.5	13.3	6.6
Academic staff	4	16.7	16.3	16.4	19.7
	5	24.6	25.7	24.4	20.9
	6	19.7	22.7	16.9	23.4
	7=Excellent	18.0	20.8	15.9	17.8

Quality of Interactions (continued)	Dosnansos	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
	Responses	Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
	1=Poor	10.7	6.9	13.5	11.0
	2	8.8	7.0	10.1	9.4
Comment and in the fifther and a standard	3	11.8	10.5	13.8	5.2
Support services staff (career services, student activities, accommodation, etc.)	4	18.8	20.6	17.5	18.9
activities, accommodation, etc.)	5	17.9	18.2	16.5	25.9
	6	15.2	18.4	13.3	12.0
	7=Excellent	16.7	18.4	15.3	17.7
	1=Poor	8.7	6.2	10.5	8.9
	2	8.0	6.4	9.0	8.9
Other desired that is a talk and affice the sister.	3	12.9	12.7	13.5	10.1
Other administrative staff and offices (registry, finance, etc.)	4	17.4	18.0	15.9	23.5
mance, etc.)	5	17.8	18.1	18.1	14.4
	6	17.7	20.2	15.8	17.8
	7=Excellent	17.5	18.4	17.1	16.3

Supportive Environment	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad -	Postgrad
Supportive Environment		Students	- Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Very little	9.6	7.3	11.2	10.1
Providing support to help students succeed	Some	35.3	30.6	39.6	31.4
academically	Quite a bit	39.8	41.2	38.7	40.2
	Very much	15.3	20.9	10.6	18.3
	Very little	16.9	16.8	17.7	12.7
Using learning support services (learning centre, computer centre, maths support, writing support	Some	30.0	24.7	33.9	29.9
etc.)	Quite a bit	34.7	33.2	34.7	40.5
,	Very much	18.5	25.4	13.6	16.9
	Very little	30.2	24.5	33.9	33.4
Contact among students from different	Some	36.1	35.8	35.5	41.0
backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious, etc.)	Quite a bit	25.1	27.0	24.9	18.5
	Very much	8.6	12.8	5.7	7.1
	Very little	26.3	19.8	31.3	25.7
Description and outside to be investigated as stalled	Some	36.3	34.0	37.1	41.5
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Quite a bit	27.9	32.2	25.6	23.4
	Very much	9.5	14.1	6.1	9.4
	Very little	21.6	15.5	26.1	22.5
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	35.1	34.7	34.5	39.5
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	30.6	33.0	29.8	25.6
	Very much	12.7	16.9	9.7	12.5
	Very little	42.3	37.4	45.8	42.9
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	33.8	34.2	32.6	39.1
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	16.8	19.5	15.9	10.8
	Very much	7.1	9.0	5.7	7.3
	Very little	34.4	31.1	36.9	33.8
Attending campus activities and events (special	Some	33.4	32.1	32.8	42.4
speakers, cultural performances, sporting events, etc.)	Quite a bit	24.5	27.0	24.2	15.8
	Very much	7.7	9.8	6.1	8.0
	Very little	41.6	37.1	45.3	39.9
Attending events that address important social,	Some	34.4	34.8	33.1	39.9
economic, or political issues	Quite a bit	18.6	21.7	16.9	15.1
	Very much	5.4	6.3	4.7	5.1

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	9.4	11.6	7.7	9.5
Asked questions or contributed to discussions	Sometimes	43.9	46.7	43.2	35.7
in class, tutorials, labs or online	Often	33.0	30.8	34.8	32.7
	Very often	13.7	10.8	14.3	22.1
	Never	45.9	46.6	43.4	57.4
Come to class without completing readings or	Sometimes	42.5	42.5	44.1	32.6
assignments	Often	9.7	9.0	10.2	9.4
	Very often	2.0	1.9	2.2	0.6
	Never	26.7	26.0	28.2	21.1
	Sometimes	38.4	37.5	36.9	50.8
Made a presentation in class or online	Often	22.3	24.9	21.2	17.7
	Very often	12.6	11.5	13.7	10.4
	Never	3.0	4.2	2.3	2.3
Improved knowledge and skills that will	Sometimes	26.9	29.8	26.5	17.3
contribute to your employability	Often	46.3	43.9	47.4	50.6
	Very often	23.8	22.2	23.9	29.7
	Never	11.6	16.0	8.8	9.1
Explored how to apply your learning in the	Sometimes	37.6	36.0	39.9	31.6
workplace	Often	35.6	34.4	35.1	43.3
	Very often	15.2	13.6	16.3	16.0
	Never	42.4	40.5	44.5	38.6
Exercised or participated in physical fitness	Sometimes	25.8	27.6	24.6	25.7
activities	Often	17.2	17.0	17.1	18.6
	Very often	14.5	14.9	13.8	17.2
	Never	17.1	26.2	11.6	10.2
Blended academic learning with workplace	Sometimes	32.9	29.9	35.9	27.6
experience	Often	32.7	30.5	33.7	35.9
	Very often	17.4	13.3	18.7	26.3
	Never	18.6	15.6	20.8	19.2
Worked on assessments that informed you	Sometimes	42.9	43.8	43.0	38.9
how well you are learning	Often	29.9	32.6	27.6	31.3
	Very often	8.6	8.0	8.7	10.5
	Very little	9.7	9.5	8.5	17.1
	Some	35.5	36.9	33.5	40.8
Memorising course material	Quite a bit	39.8	36.7	42.9	35.7
	Very much	15.0	16.9	15.1	6.3
	Have not decided	33.5	42.8	29.1	18.7
Work with academic staff on a research	Do not plan to do	34.0	29.1	39.8	22.2
project	Plan to do	21.0	25.6	14.2	39.3
	Done or in progress	11.6	2.5	17.0	19.8
	Have not decided	34.0	38.5	31.1	30.6
	Do not plan to do	37.7	28.1	42.8	49.8
Community service or volunteer work	Plan to do	18.4	25.8	14.4	9.4
	Done or in progress	10.0	7.6	11.7	10.1
	Very little	5.8	5.4	6.0	6.0
Spending significant amounts of time	Some	34.0	35.3	34.3	27.6
studying and on academic work	Quite a bit	44.5	42.7	45.7	44.9
	Very much	15.7	16.6	14.0	21.5

Non-indicator items	Responses	All	Undergrad	Undergrad	Postgrad
		Students	- Year 1	- Final Yr	taught
Writing clearly and effectively	Very little	12.7	11.9	13.8	9.8
	Some	33.9	38.9	32.5	21.6
	Quite a bit	38.4	36.0	38.3	48.7
	Very much	15.0	13.2	15.5	19.9
Speaking clearly and effectively	Very little	18.6	17.3	19.4	19.3
	Some	33.9	31.3	35.2	37.8
	Quite a bit	33.1	36.1	31.5	29.9
	Very much	14.4	15.3	13.9	13.0
Thinking critically and analytically	Very little	5.1	5.8	5.0	3.1
	Some	26.2	27.0	25.7	25.9
	Quite a bit	46.5	49.0	44.9	45.4
	Very much	22.1	18.2	24.4	25.7
Analysing numerical and statistical information	Very little	14.2	17.1	12.7	11.1
	Some	34.5	33.6	34.4	39.0
	Quite a bit	32.4	34.3	30.8	33.0
	Very much	18.9	15.1	22.1	16.8
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills	Very little	10.3	11.0	10.3	7.4
	Some	27.6	32.7	25.1	20.7
	Quite a bit	40.4	37.0	42.1	44.5
	Very much	21.7	19.3	22.5	27.4
Working effectively with others	Very little	14.4	14.2	15.1	11.8
	Some	29.7	24.2	31.5	42.5
	Quite a bit	36.6	38.2	36.2	32.0
	Very much	19.3	23.3	17.2	13.6
Solving complex real-world problems	Very little	19.1	21.3	18.3	13.9
	Some	34.6	33.9	33.4	44.2
	Quite a bit	33.2	32.9	33.6	32.4
	Very much	13.1	11.9	14.7	9.5
Being an informed and active citizen (societal / political / community)	Very little	31.3	30.0	33.0	27.7
	Some	34.1	35.6	32.9	34.6
	Quite a bit	24.2	24.7	23.8	24.3
	Very much	10.4	9.7	10.3	13.3
How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this institution?	Poor	3.6	1.4	5.1	3.8
	Fair	17.9	13.6	22.2	12.1
	Good	51.1	55.3	47.6	53.7
	Excellent	27.4	29.7	25.1	30.3
If you could start over again, would you go to the same institution you are now attending?	Definitely no	2.8	1.5	3.8	3.2
	Probably no	13.2	8.1	17.7	9.4
	Probably yes	47.2	52.8	42.8	47.6
	Definitely yes				
	Definitely yes	36.8	37.7	35.6	39.8