## StudentSurvey.ie (Irish Survey of Student Engagement) Institution name: Atlantic Technological University Sligo Results of StudentSurvey.ie 2022

## A: Context

Development and implementation of the Irish Survey of Student Engagement is driven by the intention to inform, support and encourage quality enhancement. The survey takes place each February – March and is open to first year undergraduates, final year undergraduates, and postgraduate taught students. Twenty five institutions participated in 2022.

StudentSurvey.ie reflects many aspects of students' experiences in higher education. It is designed to focus on student engagement, namely the amount of time and effort that students put into meaningful and purposeful educational activities and the extent to which institutions provide such opportunities and encourage students to engage with them. The data collected reflect students' self-reported perceptions of their experiences and this data set is one of many sources of evidence available to institutions to inform their enhancement activities.

The StudentSurvey.ie Steering Group regards the comprehensive nature of data gathered as a key strength. Interpretation of detailed results requires appreciation of the local context. This means that staff and students within individual institutions are best placed to own and to interrogate institution-level data. Accordingly, any queries about these data should be directed to the individual institution in question. Institutions are committed to interpreting and utilising StudentSurvey.ie data to enhance the experiences of their students and do not support the use of student engagement results for any overly simplistic purpose that could be perceived as ranking institutions.

To aid navigation of the data, responses for many of the individual questions may be combined to create related indicator scores. These indicators scores act as "signposts" and are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60. Calculation of these scores is explained in the introductory section of the national report. No single indicator reflects the complex dimensions of student behaviour and institutional performance. Comparison of individual scores across institutions is inappropriate given that the differences with respect to mission, resources, profile and response rates. Comparison of indicator scores for various disciplines in the national report illustrates the notable variation that exists between fields of study at national level. The proportion of students studying particular disciplines also influences the overall results for each institution.

The following tables provide percentage responses by year/ cohort, weighted at institutional level, and the calculated score (out of 60) for each indicator.

Any queries relating to data for individual institutions should be referred to the institution in question. If there are any queries about these results, please contact <a href="mailto:registrar@atu.ie">registrar@atu.ie</a>.

## **B:** Indicator scores (out of 60)

Many questions contribute to engagement indicators, as shown in section C. Indicator scores are calculated on a scale from 0 to 60 using responses to the contributing question items.

Indicator	Indicator score (all
	respondents)
Higher Order Learning	33.1
Reflective and Integrative Learning	28.7
Quantitative Reasoning	19.6
Learning Strategies	32.0
Collaborative Learning	22.3
Student-Faculty Interaction	11.0
Effective Teaching Practices	34.6
Quality of Interactions	38.2
Supportive Environment	27.7
Learning, Creative and Social Skills	31.9

## C: Responses to individual questions

Higher Order Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	7.5	8.5	7.3	3.1
Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical	Some	33.7	37.7	30.6	26.3
problems or new situations	Quite a bit	37.6	34.3	41.1	39.7
	Very much	21.1	19.5	21.1	30.8
	Very little	11.5	9.7	14.6	6.0
Analysing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning	Some	37.1	41.0	33.7	32.0
in depth by examining its parts	Quite a bit	36.3	36.3	36.3	37.1
	Very much	15.1	13.1	15.4	24.9
	Very little	10.1	9.2	11.9	5.7
Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information	Some	34.0	38.1	30.7	27.1
source	Quite a bit	41.2	38.8	43.4	44.2
	Very much	14.7	13.8	14.0	23.0
	Very little	5.8	4.6	7.8	3.1
Forming an understanding or new idea from various pieces of information	Some	32.5	33.2	33.6	22.6
	Quite a bit	44.0	47.0	40.0	46.5
	Very much	17.8	15.3	18.7	27.7

Reflective and Integrative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	7.0	7.4	7.0	4.2
Combined ideas from different subjects / modules	Sometimes	40.1	40.8	40.1	35.6
when completing assignments	Often	39.3	38.7	39.7	41.4
	Very often	13.6	13.1	13.2	18.8
	Never	23.9	21.4	27.6	19.0
Connected your learning to problems or issues in	Sometimes	41.8	46.2	37.7	37.2
society	Often	24.0	24.3	22.3	31.8
•	Very often	10.2	8.0	12.4	11.9
to alcohal discours a source attract to altitude and in the	Never	51.8	46.2	55.3	66.5
Included diverse perspectives (political, religious,	Sometimes	30.4	33.8	28.5	19.7
racial, ethnic, gender, etc.) in discussions or	Often	13.0	14.2	12.0	10.7
assignments*	Very often	4.8	5.7	4.2	3.1
	Never	10.9	10.8	10.7	12.6
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your	Sometimes	46.2	45.6	48.8	36.3
own views on a topic or issue	Often	32.3	32.8	30.6	37.4
	Very often	10.7	10.8	9.9	13.7
Tried to hetter understand someone electricus	Never	9.8	7.5	13.0	6.2
Tried to better understand someone else's views	Sometimes	41.2	38.8	41.0	56.6
by imagining how an issue looks from their perspective	Often	34.3	37.5	31.4	30.2
perspective	Very often	14.7	16.1	14.6	7.0
	Never	4.1	3.9	4.9	1.8
Learned something that changed the way you	Sometimes	35.8	35.5	37.4	29.2
understand an issue or concept?	Often	43.7	45.2	41.2	47.7
	Very often	16.4	15.4	16.5	21.3
	Never	4.0	5.1	3.6	0.0
Connected ideas from your subjects / modules to	Sometimes	30.9	33.6	29.6	22.3
your prior experiences and knowledge	Often	43.1	42.5	43.3	45.4
	Very often	21.9	18.8	23.5	32.2

Quantitative Reasoning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Baseland and being have decreased as a second secon	Never	22.2	23.0	20.0	28.9
Reached conclusions based on your analysis of	Sometimes	44.5	46.5	44.8	32.2
numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)	Often	23.6	22.4	24.2	27.8
etc.)	Very often	9.7	8.2	11.1	11.0
	Never	39.2	40.3	38.2	37.2
Used numerical information to examine a real- world problem or issue (unemployment, climate	Sometimes	37.0	39.9	34.8	31.5
change, public health, etc.)	Often	16.7	14.2	19.6	16.9
change, public fleatth, etc.)	Very often	7.1	5.6	7.4	14.4
	Never	40.8	40.7	40.2	44.9
Evaluated what others have concluded from	Sometimes	41.1	42.4	41.0	34.0
numerical information	Often	14.5	13.3	15.5	16.0
	Very often	3.6	3.6	3.3	5.0

Learning Strategies	Dosponsos	All	Undergrad -	Undergrad -	Postgrad
Learning Strategies	Responses	Students	Year 1	Final Yr	taught
	Never	9.2	8.5	11.0	3.6
Identified key information from recommended	Sometimes	40.5	43.3	40.1	26.5
reading materials	Often	38.7	39.6	36.4	45.6
	Very often	11.6	8.7	12.4	24.3
	Never	5.8	4.6	7.1	5.3
Reviewed your notes after class, tutorials, labs or	Sometimes	33.3	33.5	33.7	30.5
studios*	Often	38.5	37.6	38.5	44.4
	Very often	22.4	24.3	20.7	19.8
	Never	11.4	8.0	15.3	10.0
Summarised what you learned in class, tutorials,	Sometimes	41.6	43.3	39.3	43.8
labs or studios, or from course materials*	Often	33.2	35.4	30.7	33.7
	Very often	13.8	13.3	14.7	12.5

Collaborative Learning	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	29.3	27.1	28.9	43.9
Asked another student to help you understand	Sometimes	42.6	43.6	42.6	37.2
course material	Often	19.9	21.6	19.1	14.6
	Very often	8.2	7.7	9.4	4.4
	Never	27.4	27.2	25.7	37.8
Explained course material to one or more students	Sometimes	41.2	39.4	42.9	42.4
Explained course material to one of more students	Often	23.1	24.5	22.3	18.3
	Very often	8.4	8.8	9.1	1.5
	Never	32.8	33.8	29.9	41.5
Prepared for exams by discussing or working	Sometimes	36.5	36.5	36.7	35.6
through course material with other students	Often	22.0	22.3	22.6	17.4
	Very often	8.7	7.4	10.8	5.5
	Never	30.9	31.2	29.4	37.6
Worked with other students on projects or assignments	Sometimes	31.8	30.7	32.1	36.9
	Often	24.3	26.7	22.6	18.7
	Very often	13.0	11.4	16.0	6.8

Student-Faculty Interaction	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Never	63.6	60.7	64.6	75.3
	Sometimes	26.5	28.1	26.4	17.9
Talked about career plans with academic staff	Often	7.2	8.2	6.7	4.3
	Very often	2.7	3.0	2.4	2.4
	Never	74.6	69.7	77.9	85.6
Worked with academic staff on activities other	Sometimes	16.8	20.9	13.7	9.2
than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)	Often	6.3	7.1	6.2	2.7
etc.)	Very often	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.5
Discussed course tonics ideas or concents with	Never	50.5	44.2	54.2	68.3
Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with academic staff outside of scheduled class, tutorials,	Sometimes	33.8	38.3	30.8	22.5
labs or studios*	Often	11.6	12.8	11.1	6.8
labs of studios	Very often	4.2	4.6	4.0	2.4
	Never	48.8	44.3	51.2	63.3
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Sometimes	38.3	42.4	36.0	27.4
Discussed your performance with academic staff	Often	9.8	10.8	9.4	6.0
	Very often	3.0	2.6	3.5	3.4

Effective Teaching Practices	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	4.9	3.7	5.9	6.8
	Some	24.5	26.8	23.2	17.3
Clearly explained course goals and requirements	Quite a bit	37.5	36.0	38.7	40.3
	Very much	33.1	33.5	32.1	35.6
	Very little	5.3	4.9	6.2	3.2
Taught in an agentical way	Some	25.7	26.2	24.8	27.8
Taught in an organised way	Quite a bit	37.6	35.1	40.4	38.9
	Very much	31.4	33.8	28.7	30.1
	Very little	6.3	5.9	6.5	7.9
Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult	Some	23.7	25.3	21.6	24.9
points	Quite a bit	38.9	36.6	40.0	46.7
	Very much	31.1	32.3	31.8	20.4
	Very little	23.8	19.8	25.6	37.6
Dustided feedlessly as a dusft as well in agreement	Some	33.9	35.4	34.0	24.7
Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress	Quite a bit	27.1	29.0	26.2	20.4
	Very much	15.2	15.8	14.1	17.2
	Very little	20.4	17.3	23.0	25.3
Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or	Some	33.3	34.9	32.3	29.2
completed assessments*	Quite a bit	28.7	28.9	28.7	27.0
	Very much	17.6	18.8	16.0	18.6

Quality of Interactions	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	2.4	1.4	2.9	5.5
	2	6.5	5.1	8.0	7.5
	3	6.7	6.5	5.9	11.1
Students	4	13.9	12.2	15.9	14.3
	5	16.5	14.7	18.6	16.5
	6	26.9	28.4	26.6	19.7
	7=Excellent	27.2	31.6	22.1	25.4
	1=Poor	7.6	4.7	10.5	11.4
	2	8.4	5.1	11.5	12.6
	3	8.6	8.2	9.2	7.5
Academic advisors	4	21.1	22.0	22.6	8.6
	5	17.0	20.1	12.8	18.9
	6	17.3	17.8	16.3	19.7
	7=Excellent	20.0	22.1	17.1	21.3
	1=Poor	3.4	2.1	4.4	6.2
	2	6.0	5.0	6.9	7.6
	3	6.4	4.3	9.6	4.2
Academic staff	4	16.1	14.8	18.2	13.5
	5	25.0	27.4	21.0	29.3
	6	23.6	26.3	21.0	20.1
	7=Excellent	19.5	20.2	18.9	19.1

Quality of Interactions (continued)	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	1=Poor	7.8	7.5	9.1	3.6
	2	5.3	4.5	6.8	3.6
	3	10.8	8.1	14.1	15.1
Support services staff (career services, student activities, accommodation, etc.)	4	18.9	17.6	21.0	18.2
activities, accommodation, etc.)	5	19.3	21.2	16.2	20.1
	6	19.1	19.5	18.7	18.7
	7=Excellent	18.8	21.7	14.1	20.8
	1=Poor	7.0	6.1	8.4	5.4
	2	5.8	5.7	6.3	4.6
	3	10.6	10.4	10.8	10.9
Other administrative staff and offices (registry, finance, etc.)	4	16.5	14.9	18.0	18.8
mance, etc.)	5	18.6	20.9	16.0	18.6
	6	22.6	21.9	22.9	25.4
	7=Excellent	18.8	20.2	17.6	16.3

Supportive Environment	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Providing support to help students succeed	Very little	10.1	5.6	13.5	18.8
	Some	31.2	30.9	30.7	35.5
academically	Quite a bit	38.2	39.6	38.0	31.5
	Very much	20.5	23.9	17.8	14.3
	Very little	11.9	10.8	11.7	19.3
Using learning support services (learning centre,	Some	30.4	29.0	31.6	32.5
computer centre, maths support, writing support etc.)	Quite a bit	35.5	34.7	38.0	28.3
etc.)	Very much	22.2	25.5	18.7	19.8
	Very little	26.7	21.3	29.3	45.0
Contact among students from different	Some	33.6	33.2	34.2	32.8
backgrounds (social, racial, ethnic, religious, agegroups etc.)*	Quite a bit	28.6	33.9	24.7	17.4
	Very much	11.1	11.7	11.8	4.8
	Very little	23.0	17.3	26.8	37.4
	Some	31.8	30.9	33.0	31.9
Providing opportunities to be involved socially	Quite a bit	31.7	35.5	28.3	27.0
	Very much	13.4	16.3	12.0	3.7
	Very little	12.5	10.5	13.5	19.3
Providing support for your overall well-being	Some	31.9	32.1	30.9	35.5
(recreation, health care, counselling, etc.)	Quite a bit	34.9	34.6	36.5	28.8
	Very much	20.7	22.9	19.1	16.4
	Very little	35.5	27.2	42.5	48.0
Helping you manage your non-academic	Some	35.1	39.4	32.2	25.1
responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	Quite a bit	20.9	23.9	17.7	19.6
	Very much	8.5	9.4	7.6	7.4
	Very little	30.8	24.8	35.3	44.1
Attending campus activities and events (special	Some	30.7	33.3	28.2	27.6
speakers, cultural performances, sporting events, etc.)	Quite a bit	27.3	30.2	25.8	17.9
- C.C.,	Very much	11.2	11.7	10.7	10.5
	Very little	28.6	22.6	32.2	45.0
Attending activities and events (special speakers,	Some	28.4	29.4	28.7	20.8
cultural performances, sporting events, etc.) organised by your institution*	Quite a bit	30.2	32.7	28.8	22.7
organised by your institution	Very much	12.9	15.3	10.3	11.5

Learning, Creative and Social Skills	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
	Very little	7.2	6.1	8.7	6.5
Multiper along the section by	Some	30.8	33.3	30.1	19.5
Writing clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	39.4	38.8	38.8	46.3
	Very much	22.5	21.8	22.4	27.7
	Very little	17.3	13.0	19.1	33.7
Charling officials*	Some	33.4	35.3	33.9	19.1
Speaking effectively*	Quite a bit	33.8	34.6	31.7	40.1
	Very much	15.5	17.1	15.3	7.1
	Very little	6.5	5.1	8.3	5.7
Thinking witigells, and analyticalls.	Some	24.8	27.2	24.5	11.9
Thinking critically and analytically	Quite a bit	41.6	42.8	38.6	48.8
	Very much	27.2	24.9	28.6	33.7
	Very little	15.4	17.0	12.6	20.0
Analysing numerical and statistical	Some	32.3	34.9	29.4	31.8
information	Quite a bit	32.7	29.4	36.3	35.0
	Very much	19.5	18.7	21.7	13.2
	Very little	14.9	14.4	13.0	26.8
Manting official cuits asked	Some	30.1	30.2	29.1	34.3
Working effectively with others	Quite a bit	32.4	33.1	33.5	22.1
	Very much	22.6	22.2	24.3	16.8
	Very little	15.7	16.4	14.0	20.3
Solving compley real world problems	Some	32.2	35.8	30.5	19.7
Solving complex real-world problems	Quite a bit	35.0	31.5	36.9	46.0
	Very much	17.1	16.4	18.5	14.0
	Very little	25.6	22.6	26.0	41.4
Being an informed and active citizen (societal	Some	34.2	37.2	32.5	24.4
/ political / community)	Quite a bit	29.2	30.0	29.3	23.5
	Very much	11.0	10.1	12.2	10.6
	Very little	10.2	9.7	9.2	18.0
Being innovative and creative	Some	35.7	33.7	39.9	27.1
Writing clearly and effectively	Quite a bit	35.8	37.7	32.6	41.2
	Very much	18.3	19.0	18.4	13.7

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Asked questions or contributed to discussions in class, tutorials, labs, studios, or online*	Never	10.7	10.5	11.8	6.0
	Sometimes	45.8	46.1	44.8	49.2
	Often	29.7	30.8	28.4	30.3
	Very often	13.8	12.6	15.0	14.5
Attended class, tutorials, labs, studios, or online without doing the preparation expected of you (completing readings or assignments, etc.)*	Never	26.1	26.2	25.3	30.2
	Sometimes	44.1	45.4	41.8	49.0
	Often	16.9	15.5	20.0	8.6
	Very often	12.8	12.9	12.9	12.1
Made a presentation in class, tutorials, labs, studios, or online*	Never	31.4	26.7	37.4	28.6
	Sometimes	39.4	44.0	34.8	36.8
	Often	18.6	18.8	16.9	26.0
	Very often	10.5	10.5	10.9	8.6

Non-indicator items	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Explored how to apply your learning in the workplace	Never	12.6	14.5	12.1	4.6
	Sometimes	36.8	38.6	34.8	37.5
	Often	35.2	32.5	38.6	32.6
	Very often	15.4	14.4	14.5	25.2
Exercised or participated in physical fitness activities (whether related to your course/institution or in your life outside your institution)*	Never	27.9	27.5	27.7	31.4
	Sometimes	28.5	31.3	25.7	26.2
	Often	23.4	21.7	26.8	16.0
	Very often	20.2	19.5	19.8	26.4
Blended academic learning with workplace experience	Never	22.0	27.1	17.4	15.7
	Sometimes	33.4	33.8	34.0	27.7
	Often	24.8	21.3	27.8	29.1
	Very often	19.9	17.7	20.8	27.6
	Never	18.0	16.0	19.7	20.7
Worked on assessments that informed you	Sometimes	43.1	43.6	44.1	34.9
how well you are learning	Often	28.5	31.3	24.7	32.4
	Very often	10.4	9.1	11.5	11.9
Engage in voluntary activity (whether related to your course/ institution or in your life outside your institution)*	Have not decided	38.2	43.4	32.8	35.1
	Do not plan to do	22.8	13.1	32.7	28.7
	Plan to do	25.4	33.9	18.0	13.1
	Done or in progress	13.6	9.6	16.4	23.1
Acquiring job- or work-related knowledge and skills	Very little	9.6	9.0	10.7	8.3
	Some	28.3	31.7	26.8	15.7
	Quite a bit	34.8	32.7	35.2	45.1
	Very much	27.3	26.7	27.4	30.9
How would you evaluate your entire educational experience at this institution?	Poor	2.8	1.8	3.6	5.3
	Fair	11.9	9.2	15.5	9.4
	Good	49.4	48.5	48.2	60.3
	Excellent	35.9	40.5	32.7	25.1
If you could start over again, would you go to the same institution you are now attending?	Definitely no	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.0
	Probably no	9.3	6.6	11.8	13.3
	Probably yes	43.7	40.9	46.9	44.0
	Definitely yes	44.2	49.9	38.4	39.7

Withdrawal	Responses	All Students	Undergrad - Year 1	Undergrad - Final Yr	Postgrad taught
Have you ever seriously considered withdrawing from your degree programme?**	No, I have not seriously considered withdrawing	64.2	69.9	57.1	65.7
	Yes, for financial reasons	10.0	10.3	11.5	1.1
	Yes, for personal or family reasons	14.7	11.6	17.8	17.4
	Yes, for health reasons	5.5	2.9	8.4	7.0
	Yes, for employment reasons	6.0	4.5	7.3	8.4
	Yes, to transfer to another institution	5.1	5.0	6.0	2.0
	Other reason	6.9	3.3	11.3	6.8

<sup>\*</sup>This question was revised for 2022

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{**}\mathsf{Shows}}$  proportion of students that selected any response to the withdrawal question